

1 Anastasi Pardalis  
 2 Pardalis & Nohavicka, LLP  
 3 950 Third Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 4 New York, NY 10022  
 5 Telephone: (718) 777-0400  
 6 Facsimile: (718) 777-0599  
*7 Attorneys for the Plaintiff*

**8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

10 GIANNIS ANTETOKOUNMPO, )  
 11 Plaintiff, ) Civ. Case No.  
 12 -v- )  
 13 JEFFREY KOCH; MIKE MAULE; ) **COMPLAINT**  
 14 DANIEL PARKER; JOSHUA COBB; ) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**  
 15 EDWARD BANEGAS; STACEY )  
 16 LANGELAN )  
 17  
 18 *Defendants.*

19 Plaintiff, GIANNIS ANTETOKOUNMPO (“Antetokounmpo” or “Plaintiff”), an  
 20 individual, by and through its undersigned attorneys, PARDALIS & NOHAVICKA, LLP,  
 21 hereby alleges as follows against Defendants JEFFREY KOCH; MIKE MAULE; DANIEL  
 22 PARKER; JOSHUA COBB; EDWARD BANEGAS; STACEY LANGELAN (hereinafter  
 23 referred to individually as “Koch”, “Maule”, “Parker”, “Cobb”, “Banegas”, and “Langelan”, or  
 24 collectively, “Defendants”).

**25 NATURE OF ACTION**

26 1. Antetokounmpo brings this action for the infringement of his GREEK FREAK  
 27 trademark by Defendants through the unauthorized use of the GREEK FREAK mark, including,  
 28

1 without limitation, by advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for  
2 sale, and selling unlicensed infringing products bearing the GREEK FREAK brand label.

3 2. This action involves claims for:

4 1) Trademark infringement of Antetokounmpo's federally registered mark in  
5 violation of §32 of the Federal Trademark (Lanham) Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et*  
6 *seq.*;

7 2) Counterfeiting of Antetokounmpo's federally registered trademark in violation  
8 of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114(1)(a)-(b), 1116(d), and 1117(b)-(c);

9 3) Federal Trademark Dilution;

10 4) False designation of origin, passing off and unfair competition in violation of  
11 Section 43(a) of the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended with 15 U.S.C. § 1125  
12 (a);

13 5) Common Law Trade Name, Trademark and Service Mark Infringement;

14 6) Deceptive Acts and Practices (N.Y. Gen Bus L. § 349);

15 7) Common Law Trademark Dilution;

16 8) Common Law Unfair Competition;

17 9) Unjust Enrichment;

18 10) Tortious Interference with prospective economic advantage;

19 11) False Labeling in Violation of the Lanham Act; and

20 12) Conspiracy and Concert of Action.

21 3. Antetokounmpo is widely identified by his nickname the "Greek Freak". Further,  
22 he has been using his nickname, Greek Freak, as a trademark in U.S. commerce (the "GREEK  
23

FREAK mark”); Antetokounmpo’s products are distributed under the GREEK FREAK mark at stores and markets throughout the United States.

4. Antetokounmpo has expended substantial time, money and resources successfully developing, promoting, and advertising his GREEK FREAK-branded products.

5. Through his efforts, and as a result of Antetokounmpo's continuous and extensive use of his GREEK FREAK mark, Antetokounmpo's GREEK FREAK mark has become famous, and exclusively associated with Antetokounmpo and Antetokounmpo's products.

6. Nevertheless, upon information and belief, Defendants have been designing, selling and distributing products, namely clothing items, hats, phone and iPad cases, stickers, digital prints, wall art, and other related products under the GREEK FREAK brand mark.

7. Upon learning of Defendants' unauthorized use of the GREEK FREAK mark and brand, Antetokounmpo took immediate action and asked Defendants to provide him with a full accounting of all merchandise sold by Defendants that is infringing upon Plaintiff's trademark (the "Infringing Products").

8. Moreover, Antetokounmpo demanded that Defendant cease selling the Infringing Products using the GREEK FREAK mark and brand.

9. Defendants, after receiving Antetokounmpo's cease and desist letter, failed to cooperate with Antetokounmpo's request for surrender of the infringing inventory and other reasonable requests which were meant to ensure that the infringement has ceased and that Antetokounmpo has been adequately compensated for the damages he incurred.

10. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Antetokounmpo brings this action for monetary and injunctive relief.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

### **Federal Question Jurisdiction and Supplemental Jurisdiction**

1  
2 11. This action arises under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq. and the  
3 statutory and common laws of the State of New York. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction  
4 over this action over Plaintiff's federal claims under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 1338(a).

5  
6 12. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under  
7 28 U.S.C. §§ 1337(a), because they are so related to the claims within the original jurisdiction  
8 of the Court that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United  
9 States Constitution.

10  
11 13. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendants in this judicial district pursuant to  
12 N.Y.C.P.L.R. § 302(a)(1) and N.Y.C.P.L.R. § 302(a)(3), or in the alternative, Federal Rule of  
13 Civil Procedure 4(k), because, upon information and belief, Defendants regularly conduct,  
14 transact and/or solicit business in New York and in this judicial district, and/or derives  
15 substantial revenue from the business transactions in New York and in this judicial district and/or  
16 otherwise avails themselves of the privileges and protections of the laws of the State of New York  
17 such that this Court's assertion of jurisdiction over Defendant does not offend traditional notions  
18 of fair play and due process, and/or Defendants' infringing actions caused injury to Plaintiff in  
19 New York and in this judicial district such that Defendant should reasonably expect such actions  
20 to have consequences in New York and in this judicial district, for example:

21  
22 a) Upon information and belief, Defendants have been systematically directing  
23 and/or targeting business activities at consumers all over the country, including New  
24 York, through websites where consumers can place orders.

25  
26 b) Defendants use to sell the Infringing Products, <https://www.redbubble.com>,  
27 and/or <https://www.etsy.com>, websites which provides information about and describes  
28

1 the goods sold; it further allows online sales with the use of a credit card and other means  
2 of payment, and it provides for shipping of purchased items.

3 c) Upon information and belief, Defendants are aware of the products that  
4 Antetokounmpo offers, namely the GREEK FREAK products and the GREEK FREAK  
5 mark. Defendants are aware that the infringing actions, alleged herein, are likely to cause  
6 injury to Antetokounmpo in New York and in this judicial district specifically, as  
7 Antetokounmpo conducts substantial business in New York.

8 14. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because acts  
9 giving rise to this complaint occurred within this judicial district.

10 **THE PARTIES**

11 15. Plaintiff, Giannis Antetokounmpo, is an individual residing in Chicago, Illinois.  
12 Antetokounmpo is an internationally famous athlete and professional basketball player, born in  
13 Greece and currently residing in the U.S.

14 16. In 2007, Plaintiff started playing basketball, and by 2009, he was playing  
15 competitively for the youth squad of Filathlitikos. From 2013 to the present, he has been playing  
16 for the Milwaukee Bucks in the National Basketball Association ("NBA"). Plaintiff recently won  
17 the MVP at the 2019 NBA Awards, the NBA Defensive Player of the Year for 2019-2020, and  
18 the MVP at the 2020 NBA Awards.

19 17. Giannis Antetokounmpo is widely known under his nickname the "GREEK  
20 FREAK". His popularity has been rising over the last years and he has participated or licensed  
21 his name/nickname and/or likeness to various brands and campaigns.

22 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant Koch is an individual with an address of  
23 16915 Cherokee Lake Lane, Houston, TX 77044.  
24

1 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant Maule is an individual with an address  
2 of 15091 London Road, Philadelphia, PA 19116.

3 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant Parker is an individual with an address  
4 of 7210 Thimblewood Way, Columbus, GA 31904.

5 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cobb is an individual with an address of  
6 610 Berg Ave, Trenton, NJ 08610.

8 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant Banegas is an individual with an address  
9 at 15601 N 30<sup>th</sup> Street Apt. 7, Phoenix, AZ 85032.

10 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant Langelan is an individual with an  
11 address at 6428 Borough Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80923.

13 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL CLAIMS**

14 **Antetokounmpo's Recognized GREEK FREAK Mark**

15 24. Antetokounmpo has built a strong reputation for his professional skills which is  
16 further reflected in the quality of the products he offers.

17 25. Antetokounmpo has been identified by his Greek Freak nickname for several  
18 years, due to his impressive professional abilities; as his popularity and fame has risen over the  
19 years, his nickname has become exclusively associated with him. He has, further, continually  
20 used his GREEK FREAK mark in connection with his products in U.S. commerce at least as  
21 early as 2017.

22 26. During this time, Plaintiff has engaged in substantial advertising and promotion,  
23 and has expended substantial time, money and resources successfully developing and promoting  
24 the GREEK FREAK mark and brand.

25 27. Plaintiff has also entered into licensing and other similar agreements with third  
26

1 parties, for the authorized use of the GREEK FREAK mark in commerce in connection with a  
2 variety of goods.

3 28. The GREEK FREAK products are directly associated with Antetokounmpo as he  
4 is recognized as the “Greek Freak”, and they distinguish themselves among competitive products  
5 based on their association with Antetokounmpo and their superior design and quality.

6 29. In addition to continuous and uninterrupted use in commerce, Antetokounmpo  
7 has applied for, and obtained, a federal registration for his GREEK FREAK mark (EXHIBIT A).  
8 Antetokounmpo has also applied for a variation of his GREEK FREAK mark, namely for the  
9 mark “GREEK FR34K” which includes the numbers appearing on his NBA jersey. This mark  
10 has been approved for registration and no third-party oppositions have been filed against it;  
11 therefore, it will be registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office soon  
12 (EXHIBIT B). This mark also covers apparel and related items, among others.

13 30. Antetokounmpo’s U.S. trademark registration for the GREEK FREAK mark  
14 covers “Backpacks” in International Class 18 and "Shirts, t-shirts, sweatshirts, hooded  
15 sweatshirts, jackets, hooded jackets, sports jerseys, socks, warm up suits, caps, hats" in  
16 International Class 25 (Registration No. 5401870).

17 31. Antetokounmpo has expended substantial time, money and resources  
18 successfully developing, promoting, and advertising his GREEK FREAK mark.

19 32. Antetokounmpo has sold tens of thousands of dollars of products under the  
20 GREEK FREAK mark.

21 33. Antetokounmpo has used the GREEK FREAK brand continuously and  
22 exclusively, and any products offered under that mark are directly associated with him.

23 34. As a result, Plaintiff’s mark has become famous, and an extremely valuable asset

1 for Plaintiff.

2 **Defendants' Infringing Activities**

3 35. Notwithstanding Antetokounmpo's established rights in the GREEK FREAK  
4 mark, upon information and belief, Defendants have advertised and sold various products under  
5 the "GREEK FREAK" mark through various media platforms, and websites including  
6 <https://www.redbubble.com>, and <https://www.etsy.com> (EXHIBIT C).

7 36. Defendants have engaged in this infringing activity despite having constructive  
8 notice of Antetokounmpo's federal trademark rights under 15 U.S.C. §1072 and despite having  
9 actual knowledge of Antetokounmpo's use of the GREEK FREAK mark, since Defendants offer  
10 directly competitive products in the same marketplace.

11 37. Defendants' use of the GREEK FREAK mark postdates the date of first use of  
12 the GREEK FREAK mark by Plaintiff.

13 38. Plaintiff never authorized Defendants to design, advertise, sell, or distribute  
14 products bearing the GREEK FREAK mark.

15 39. On November 8, 2021, Plaintiff through his attorneys, sent a Cease-and-Desist  
16 letter to Defendant Koch (EXHIBIT D) alerting him of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the mark  
17 and requesting an accounting of all profits generated. Defendant never responded or provided  
18 any evidence showing the cessation of his infringing activities.

19 40. On October 6, 2021, Plaintiff through his attorneys, sent a Cease-and-Desist  
20 letter to Defendant Maule (EXHIBIT D), alerting him of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the  
21 mark and requesting an accounting of all profits generated. Defendant never responded or  
22 provided any evidence showing the cessation of his infringing activities.

23 41. On October 6, 2021, Plaintiff through his attorneys sent a Cease-and-Desist

1 letter to Defendant Parker (EXHIBIT D), alerting him of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the  
2 mark and requesting an accounting of all profits generated. Defendant never responded or  
3 provided any evidence showing the cessation of his infringing activities.

4 42. On October 4, 2021, Plaintiff through his attorneys sent a Cease-and-Desist  
5 letter to Defendant Cobb (EXHIBIT D), alerting her of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the mark  
6 and requesting an accounting of all profits generated. Defendant never responded or provided  
7 any evidence showing the cessation of the infringing activities.

8 43. On September 21, 2021, Plaintiff through his attorneys sent a Cease-and-Desist  
9 letter to Defendant Banegas (EXHIBIT D), alerting him of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the  
10 mark and requesting an accounting of all profits generated. Defendant never responded or  
11 provided any evidence showing the cessation of his infringing activities.

12 44. On October 5, 2021, Plaintiff through his attorneys sent a Cease-and-Desist  
13 letter to Defendant Langelan (EXHIBIT D), alerting her of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the  
14 mark and requesting an accounting of all profits generated. Defendant never responded or  
15 provided any evidence showing the cessation of her infringing activities.

16 45. Defendants failed to cooperate with Plaintiff in good faith to reach an agreement  
17 that would ensure that the infringement has ceased, and Plaintiff is reasonably compensated for  
18 the damage he incurred.

19 46. Upon information and belief, the products offered by Defendants under the  
20 GREEK FREAK mark were of a particular aesthetic not aligned with Plaintiff and of a  
21 substantially lower quality than the products offered by Plaintiff. Therefore, Defendants' actions  
22 constitute trademark dilution by tarnishment.

23 47. Defendants' use of the GREEK FREAK mark is directly competitive with

Plaintiff's use of the mark on his products and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception as to the source of Defendants' goods and services.

48. Defendants' failure to cooperate with Plaintiff in the face of a demand to cease and desist infringing activities demonstrates Defendants' bad faith intent to profit from Plaintiff's success, by misleading, confusing, and deceiving consumers.

49. There is no question that the products sold by Defendants under the GREEK FREAK mark were sold by Defendants with the purpose of confusing and misleading consumers into believing that they are purchasing products associated with or endorsed by Giannis Antetokounmpo, one of the most successful and popular NBA players. Defendants therefore traded off the goodwill and reputation of Antetokounmpo by engaging in the unauthorized use of Antetokounmpo's trademark and publicity rights.

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Trademark Infringement of Plaintiff's Federal Registered Mark [15 U.S.C. § 1114/Lanham Act § 32(a)]**

50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint.

51. Since the early days of his career, Antetokounmpo has been identified as the “GREEK FREAK”, and since at least as early as 2017, he has continuously and extensively used the GREEK FREAK mark in commerce and has built its reputation under the same mark.

52. Antetokounmpo owns Federal Registration No. 5401870 for the GREEK FREAK mark. This registration is valid, subsisting, and prima facie evidence of the validity of the GREEK FREAK mark, Antetokounmpo's ownership of the mark, and Antetokounmpo's exclusive right to use the mark in commerce. The GREEK FREAK mark is a famous mark, due to its long and wide use, large investments, substantial sales associated with it, and high

1 consumer recognition. Therefore, it enjoys a broader scope of protection.

2 53. Defendants made unauthorized use of the GREEK FREAK mark on various  
3 goods including clothing items, hats, phone and iPad cases, stickers, digital prints, wall art, and  
4 other related products that are confusingly similar to, related to, and directly competitive with  
5 Antetokounmpo's goods sold under the GREEK FREAK mark.

6 54. Defendants' unauthorized use of the GREEK FREAK mark is likely to cause  
7 confusion, mistake, and deception as to the source, sponsorship or approval of Defendants'  
8 products and/or result in the mistaken belief that Defendants are somehow legitimately affiliated,  
9 connected or associated with Antetokounmpo.

10 55. Defendants' aforesaid acts, specifically Defendants' unlawful misappropriation  
11 of the GREEK FREAK mark, constitute willful trademark infringement of a federally registered  
12 trademark in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

13 56. By reason of Defendants' aforesaid acts, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue  
14 to suffer damage and injury to its business reputation and goodwill and will sustain loss of  
15 revenue and profits.

16 57. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants will potentially continue to perform  
17 the acts complained of herein and cause said damages and injury, all to the immediate and  
18 irreparable harm of Plaintiff. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' wrongful  
19 acts.

20 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

21 **Trademark Counterfeiting Under Sections 32, 34 and 35 of the Lanham Act, 15**  
**U.S.C. § §1114(1)(b), 1116(d), and 1117(b)-(c)**

22 58. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing  
23 paragraphs of this Complaint.

1 59. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner of all right and title to the GREEK FREAK mark.

2 60. Plaintiff has continuously used the GREEK FREAK mark in interstate commerce  
3 since on or before the date of the first use as reflected in its registration attached hereto as Exhibit  
4 A.

5 61. Without Plaintiff's authorization or consent, with knowledge of Plaintiff's rights  
6 in the GREEK FREAK mark and with knowledge that Defendants' products bear counterfeit  
7 marks, Defendants intentionally reproduced, copied and/or colorably imitated the GREEK  
8 FREAK mark which on products are indistinguishable from the actual/original products offered  
9 under the GREEK FREAK mark by Plaintiff.

10 62. Defendants' infringing products are likely to cause confusion, mistake and  
11 deception among the general purchasing public as to the origin of these infringing products, and  
12 are likely to deceive consumers, the public and the trade into believing that Defendants'  
13 infringing products originate from, are associated with or are otherwise authorized or endorsed  
14 by Plaintiff.

15 63. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' illegal actions alleged herein,  
16 Defendants have caused substantial monetary loss and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff,  
17 his business, reputation and valuable rights in the GREEK FREAK mark and the goodwill  
18 associated therewith, in an amount as yet unknown, but to be determined at trial.

19 64. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

20 65. Unless immediately enjoined, Defendants will continue to cause such substantial  
21 and irreparable injury, loss and damage to Plaintiff.

22 66. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief, damages for the irreparable harm caused  
23 by Defendants' infringing activities, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendants  
24

1 as a result therefrom, enhanced discretionary damages, treble damages and/or statutory damages  
 2 of up to \$2,000,000.00 per counterfeit mark per type of goods sold, offered for sale or distributed  
 3 and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

4 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **Federal Trademark Dilution (15 U.S.C. § 1125 (c))**

6 77. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing  
 8 paragraphs of this Complaint.

9 68. As a result of Plaintiff's widespread and continuous use of his GREEK FREAK  
 10 mark in commerce in the United States and as a result of Plaintiff's fame and direct association  
 11 with such mark, the GREEK FREAK mark is famous within the meaning of relevant statutes.  
 12

13 69. Defendants' unauthorized commercial use of the GREEK FREAK mark was  
 14 willfully intended to trade on Plaintiff's reputation.

15 70. Defendants' unauthorized commercial use of the GREEK FREAK mark has  
 16 caused and continues to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff and his business reputation and has  
 17 diluted the distinctive quality of Plaintiff's famous GREEK FREAK mark within the meaning of  
 18 15 U.S.C. § 1125 (c).

20 71. Upon information and belief, Defendant has profited or will profit through  
 21 wrongful conduct and activities.

22 72. Defendants' conduct complained herein is malicious, fraudulent, deliberate,  
 23 and/or willful.

25 73. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief, and is  
 26 entitled to recover damages by reason of Defendants' acts.

27 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

**False designation of Origin, Passing Off and Unfair Competition [15 U.S.C § 1125(a)/Lanham Act § 43(a)]**

74. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint.

75. Plaintiff as the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the GREEK FREAK mark, has standing to maintain an action for false designation of origin and unfair competition under the Federal Trademark Statute, Lanham Act § 43(a) (15 U.S.C. § 1125).

76. Since 2017, Plaintiff has continuously and extensively advertised and marketed his products under his GREEK FREAK mark throughout the United States.

77. Plaintiff's GREEK FREAK mark is inherently distinctive.

78. As a result of Plaintiff's continuous use of the GREEK FREAK mark in connection with his products and Plaintiff's reputation and fame, the GREEK FREAK mark has become widely recognized among consumers as a source-identifier of Plaintiff's products.

79. Defendants' unauthorized use of the GREEK FREAK mark in connection with goods namely clothing items, hats, phone and iPad cases, stickers, digital prints, wall art, and other related products cause confusion, mistake, and deception as to the source, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' products by Plaintiff and results in the mistaken belief that Defendants' products are somehow legitimately affiliated, connected or associated with Plaintiff.

80. By designing, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, offering for sale or otherwise dealing with the infringing products, Defendants have traded off the goodwill of Plaintiff and his products, thereby directly and unfairly competing with Plaintiff.

81. Defendants knew, or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that the infringing products would cause confusion, mistake and deception among consumers and the public.

82. Defendants' aforesaid acts constitute willful unfair competition with Plaintiff, in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125 (a).

83. Upon information and belief, Defendants' aforementioned wrongful actions have been knowing, deliberate, willful, intended to cause confusion, to cause mistake and to deceive consumers and were performed with the intent to trade on the goodwill and reputation of Plaintiff.

84. By reason of Defendants' aforesaid acts, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damage and injury to his business, reputation, and goodwill, and will sustain loss of revenue and profits.

85. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue to perform the acts complained of herein and cause said damages and injury, all to the immediate and irreparable harm of Plaintiff. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' wrongful acts.

## **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **Common Law Trade Name, Trademark Infringement**

86. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint.

87. Plaintiff has established and enjoys common law trade name and trademark rights in the GREEK FREAK mark in connection with goods namely clothing items, backpacks and other related products, through continuous, extensive and uninterrupted use of the mark in commerce throughout the United States.

88. By their wrongful acts, Defendants have caused, and unless restrained by this Court will continue to cause, serious and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff and to the goodwill, reputation and proven business success associated with the GREEK FREAK mark.

89. Upon information and belief, Defendants have profited and will profit by their conduct and activities.

90. Upon information and belief, Defendants' conduct complained of herein is malicious, fraudulent, deliberate, and/or willful.

91. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

## SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## **Deceptive Acts and Unfair Trade Practices (N.Y. Gen Bus L. § 349)**

92. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint.

93. Defendants' activities consist of deceptive acts and practices in the conduct of their business.

94. Defendants' aforementioned deceptive acts are aimed at consumers, and are materially misleading with respect to the source, sponsorship, and affiliation or approval of Defendants' activities, and/or falsely suggest that Defendants are somehow legitimately affiliated, connected, or associated with Plaintiff.

95. Plaintiff has been, and will continue to be, damaged by Defendants' deceptive acts and practices in an amount to be determined at trial.

96. Defendants have caused, and will continue to cause, irreparable injury to Plaintiff and to the public unless restrained by this Court, pursuant to N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 349

## SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## Trademark Dilution (N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 360-1)

97. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint.

98. Defendants' aforesaid acts have created a likelihood of injury to the business reputation of Plaintiff and likelihood of dilution of the distinctive quality of the GREEK FREAK mark.

99. Defendants' acts have caused, and will continue to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff unless restrained by this Court, pursuant to N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 360-1.

## **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## Common Law Unfair Competition

100. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint.

101. Defendants' aforesaid activities constitute deliberate passing off, unfair competition, misappropriation, unjust enrichment, unfair and fraudulent business practices, and misuse of Plaintiff's GREEK FREAK mark under the common law of the State of New York.

102. Upon information and belief, Defendants' conduct is willful, deliberate, intentional, and in bad faith.

103. By reason of Defendants' aforesaid acts, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damage and injury to its business, reputation and good will, and will sustain loss of revenues and profits.

104. Unless and until enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue to perform the acts complained herein and cause said damages and injury, all to the immediate and irreparable harm of Plaintiff. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' wrongful acts.

## NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## Unjust Enrichment

105. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing

1 paragraphs of this Complaint.

2 106. By virtue of the egregious and illegal acts of Defendants as described herein,  
3 Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount to be proven at trial.

4 107. Defendants' retention of monies gained through their deceptive business  
5 practices, infringement, acts of deceit, and otherwise would serve to unjustly enrich Defendants  
6 and would be contrary to the interests of justice.

7 **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

8 **Tortious Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage**

9 108. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing  
10 paragraphs of this Complaint.

11 109. Plaintiff has enjoyed long and successful business relationships with its  
12 authorized distributors and customers.

13 110. Defendants' conduct has interfered with these relationships and constitutes  
14 tortious interference with prospective business relationships with these distributors and  
15 customers.

16 111. Defendants employed wrongful means in an effort to harm Plaintiff, Plaintiff's  
17 reputation, Plaintiff's relationship with his customers and his distribution network, for which  
18 Defendants and any other identified person or entity who has acted in concert or in participation  
19 with them, are liable to Plaintiff for actual and punitive damages in an amount to be proven at  
20 trial.

21 **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **False Labeling in Violation of the Lanham Act**

23 112. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing

1 paragraphs of this Complaint.

2 113. Defendants have used the GREEK FREAK mark in order to promote, distribute,  
3 offer for sale and sell their products, *inter alia*, by labeling such products with the GREEK  
4 FREAK mark.

5 114. Defendants' actions constitute a direct violation of Plaintiff's trademark rights in  
6 the GREEK FREAK mark.

7 115. Plaintiff is sole owner of the GREEK FREAK mark.

8 116. Defendants' false labeling has caused confusion, mistake, and deception among  
9 buyers of the counterfeited products and all purchasers of the GREEK FREAK products as to  
10 Defendants' affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's authorized  
11 distributors.

12 117. The false trade statements have also caused confusion, mistake, and deception  
13 among buyers of the counterfeited products and all purchasers of the GREEK FREAK brand  
14 products as to the origin, sponsorship, approval or endorsement by Plaintiff of the above-pled  
15 counterfeit goods.

16 118. By setting forth the false labeling on the counterfeit goods, and as pled above in  
17 this complaint, Defendants have proximately contributed to the harm that Plaintiff has suffered.

18 119. Defendants have therefore committed an actionable wrong under 15 U.S.C. §  
19 1125(a)(1)(A) and are liable to Plaintiff for such remedies as are afforded it under 15 U.S.C. §§  
20 1117 and 1125(a)(1).

21 **TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **Conspiracy and Concert of Action**

23 120. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the foregoing

1 paragraphs of this Complaint.

2 121. Upon information and belief, Defendants have conspired with unknown  
3 manufacturers and/or suppliers in the U.S. and/or overseas and other persons to illegally  
4 manufacture and/or import in the United States, products under the GREEK FREAK mark.

5 122. Defendants' conduct combined with the conduct of unknown third parties  
6 constitutes conspiracy and concert of action to tortiously interfere with Plaintiff's business, for  
7 which each conspirator is liable to Plaintiff for damages.

8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

9 10 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor and against  
11 Defendants on all its claims and award the following to Plaintiff:

12 13 14 1. Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Defendants and their  
15 agents, attorneys, employees, and all others in active concern or participation with them from:

16 17 18 (a) any further acts of infringement of Plaintiff's intellectual property rights in  
the GREEK FREAK mark, and in any similar mark Plaintiff owns, uses and/or may use;

19 20 21 (b) using Plaintiff's name, likeness and/or the GREEK FREAK mark and any  
variation that is confusingly similar to the Plaintiff's mark unless expressly and  
specifically authorized by Plaintiff;

22 23 24 (c) doing any act or thing that is likely to dilute the distinctiveness of Plaintiff's  
GREEK FREAK mark or that is likely to tarnish the goodwill associated with it.

25 26 27 28 2. An order, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116 (a), directing Defendants to file with the  
Court and serve on counsel for Plaintiff within thirty (30) days after the entry of injunction issued  
by this Court, a sworn statement setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendants

have complied with the injunction;

3. The following damages:

(a) All monetary actual and/or statutory damages sustained and to be sustained by Plaintiff as a consequence of Defendants' unlawful conduct, said amount to be trebled pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. §349, N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 360-m, and/or any other applicable statute;

(b) All exemplary and/or punitive damages to which Plaintiff is entitled under statutory or common law;

(c) Pre-judgement interest according to law;

(d) Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 349, N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 360-m, and/or any other applicable statute, together with the costs and disbursements of this action; and

4. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Giannis Antetokounmpo, an individual, hereby demands a jury trial.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 2, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

# PARDALIS & NOHAVICKA, LLP

By: /s/ Anastasi Pardalis

Anastasi Pardalis  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
950 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10022  
Tel.: (718) 777 0400  
Fax: (718) 777 0599  
[taso@pnlawyers.com](mailto:taso@pnlawyers.com)